

Petitioner:	Alison Ikpitan
Petition:	Petition regarding the pupils from St Pauls Academy, Finchale Road SE2 9PX
Number of signatures:	101
The petition read as follows:	We, the undersigned, are forming this petition as we have had enough of the pupils from St Pauls Academy causing problems before and after school. They have continuously caused intimidation around the shop area of Eynsham Drive by being in large groups all the time, playing penny up the wall in large groups behind the houses in Penmon Road and Byland Close and being generally very noisy. They are around the area sometimes into the early evening and this causes problems with people who work early and have to go to bed and people with young children that are trying to sleep. The school has been spoken to numerous occasions and yet we are still having this problem. This petition will be handed into the school, Greenwich Council and the Local Police so that we we can get out feelings across.

Cabinet Member:	Children's Services and Community Safety
Ward(s):	Abbey Wood
Directorate:	DCS

Submitted to Council on:	18 December 2019
Presented by:	Councillor Ann-Marie Cousins

I. **Petition Response**

- I.1 This matter first came to the attention of the Anti-Social Behaviour Team on 15/07/2019, the complaint at this time was that some students were regularly congregating outside the C2K community centre opposite Penmon Road, SE2 9PH to play “penny up the wall”. This was part of a wider reported problem of larger numbers of students reportedly congregating outside homes in the vicinity. It was reported that when challenged some students were reportedly verbally abusive. The Anti-Social Behaviour Team liaised with the school on 18/07/2019 and due to the close proximity to the school holidays it was

agreed to review the situation with the school after the summer holidays. The Anti-Social Behaviour Team assessed the area on 19/09/2019 and a small group of students were witnessed playing penny up the wall, but the students were not loud or rowdy at the time. The Anti-Social Behaviour Team had a follow up meeting with the school behaviour lead on 03/10/2019. The school's perspective was that the students are aware of the school rules when they leave the school premises and that it had been observed that there may be a degree of intolerance by some residents towards St Pauls students. It was agreed that the best way forward was to arrange a meeting between some of the residents and some of the students.

- 1.2 On 11/11/2019 a Ward Panel Meeting was held involving residents, Neighbourhood Watch, and community Police officers to discuss the issue. A statement by St Pauls Head Teacher was read out to the meeting. It is reported that there was a discussion about the possibility of community resolutions between students and residents, unfortunately these were firmly rejected by the majority of residents attending the meeting. It was noted that one resident had recorded students who she felt had been behaving in a threatening way. The following actions were agreed at this meeting:
- If specific young people were identified as causing Anti-Social Behaviour they would receive Acceptable Behaviour Agreements (ABAs);
 - The Community Safety Officers and Police would visit the area and collect names, if possible week beginning 13/11/2019; and
 - The complainant would meet with the Head Teacher and show her video evidence of the young people concerned.

Residents were given advice by the police about how to respond to any issues of anti-social behaviour or incidents whereby they felt threatened, this included calling the police if necessary.

- 1.3 On 28th November Children's Services coordinated a meeting with key council officers and partners to agree measures to resolve this matter. The meeting also addressed further developments that had occurred as a result of racist and offensive Facebook posts that appeared on a Thamesmead Community Page, which were seemingly directed towards St Pauls students. At this meeting it was agreed that there would be an enhanced presence of council officers in the Eynsham Drive area in the 3 weeks leading up to the end of term. This would support the school staff in their efforts to reduce tensions by encouraging students not to congregate in the Penmon Road / Eynsham Drive area. It was also agreed that the school would forward any complaints it received in relation to its students from the public to the Anti-Social Behaviour. The Facebook posts were removed and investigated by police. School staff and council officers continued to work together until the

end of term to reduce loitering in the area. There were no negative reports relating to St Pauls students during this period and when asked to move along the students complied with adult requests. The residents that engaged with council officers thanked staff for their support during this period.

- 1.4 Additional youth work support was put in place in the area after school via XLP and the Community Based Interventions Team (Early Help) both of whom used their activity buses to engage the pupils. The feedback was that the students were no longer loitering as a result of the activities being delivered but they would often wait for buses near the shops on Eynsham Drive when it was time for them to leave the area. Staff delivering evening youth work sessions in the Abbey Wood area have not identified any St Pauls students loitering in the area into the early evening. The consensus from professionals was that it seemed to be an issue relating to the volume of students in the areas as opposed to an anti-social behaviour issue. The school has already addressed the issue of volume by staggering the leaving times of students to prevent the whole school leaving school at the same time.

2 **Current actions being taken in relation to matter raised in petition**

- 2.1 Children's Services and the Safer Spaces Team are formally leading a problem-solving process in response to the recent petition by residents and a further meeting was held on 17th January 2020, this meeting involved representatives from Early Help, Safer Spaces, the Anti-Social Behaviour Team, Police Safer Neighbourhood Team, St Pauls Academy, XLP and Inclusion, Learning and Achievement. During this meeting it was confirmed that there had not been further reports to police for the past 4 months and that observations from all parties involved in attending the area after school indicated that students were not causing anti-social behaviour. The problem-solving meeting will convene again in February to review the following actions:
- Contact with TFL to check if the bus routes through Eynsham Drive can be reviewed to support the dispersal of students from the area.
 - The Safer Spaces Enforcement Officers to continue to patrol the area and where applicable continue to work with the Anti-Social Behaviour Team to identify any young people involved in anti-social behaviour. Consideration will be given to enforcement measures such as Acceptable Behaviour Agreements, where applicable.
 - Planned assemblies at the school to be led by a local resident to discuss the impact of anti-social behaviour after school in the area.

- Delivery of planned community projects to involve the school, local church and their youth worker. National Lottery funding has been applied for to facilitate this.
- The school to enlist the Student Council to help draw up an agreement about how the students wish to be perceived in the local community and how they can contribute toward this perception.
- A coffee meeting to be arranged with residents and the school to create a mechanism for open dialogue.
- The Anti-Social Behaviour Team to offer a restorative approach with local residents and the school senior leadership team to facilitate a sharing of perspectives and support community cohesion.
- Plain clothed officers from the Integrated Enforcement Team to have a presence and observe the situation to feedback to the problem-solving meeting.
- Any complaints received by the school to be forwarded to the Anti-Social Behaviour Team.
- XLP and the Community Based Interventions Team to continue to deliver youth work to engage young people in the Abbey Wood area.
- Early Help, Safer Spaces and Anti-Social Behaviour Team officers to meet with the school senior leadership team to identify any students that may benefit from a targeted offer of support or intervention.

Petitioner:	Danny Mott
Petition:	Highbrook/Halsbrook Road Development
Number of signatures:	458
The petition read as follows:	We the undersigned being resident on what is commonly known as the Upper Brook Estate oppose the Greenwich Council's plans to build homes on the junction of Highbrook Road and Halsbrook Road due to the impact on recreational space, parking and traffic levels.

Cabinet Member:	Regeneration and Growth
Ward(s):	Kidbrooke with Hornfair
Directorate:	DRES

Submitted to Council on:	18 December 2019
Presented by:	Councillor David Stanley

1. **Petition Response**

- 1.1 The points raised in the petition are noted.
- 1.2 The chronic shortage of Council rented homes gives rise to a pressing need to utilise these under used green areas for new housing provision.

2 **Current actions being taken in relation to matter raised in petition**

- 2.1 Residents have been consulted on our proposals as they are being formulated and further resident consultation is planned, prior to a formal Planning Application Public exhibition.
- 2.2 We have sought residents' suggestions as to improvements that can be made to the schemes and also to the landscaping, tree planting and enhanced bio-diversity of the remaining green spaces.
- 2.3 The proposals were referred by us to the Independent Design Review Panel and their suggestions have been taken on board in the latest proposals which will be presented to residents at the next Residents Consultation meeting.

Petitioner:	J Kilmurray
Petition:	Petition to alter the parking arrangements in and around the Raglan Road, Hudson Place area.
Number of signatures:	29
The petition read as follows:	We the undersigned wish to petition the Royal Borough of Greenwich to alter the parking arrangements in and around the Raglan Road, Hudson Place area. The CPZ for this area is not adequate we are asking the Council to review the timing to allow residents to park in their roads.

Cabinet Member:	Air Quality, Sustainability and Transport
Ward(s):	Glyndon
Directorate:	DRES

Submitted to Council on:	18 December 2019
Presented by:	Councillor Peter Brooks

I. **Petition Response**

- I.1 Hudson Place and Raglan Road (that section fronting no's 62 to 100) are within the Plumstead Station (PL) Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) and controls operate 9.30am to 11am Monday to Friday.
- I.2 These controls were introduced in June 2019 following consultation with residents and were supported by the majority of residents who responded. 30 (32%) of the 94 households consulted responded and 23 (77%) supported inclusion in the permit controls. This would be considered a good response rate when compared to other similar consultations.
- I.3 Subsequently, there have been requests from residents for longer hours of controls to better protect the limited parking here for residents and their visitors.

- 2 **Current actions being taken in relation to matter raised in petition**
- 2.1 The wider PL CPZ and the neighbouring Plumstead Central (PC) CPZ, and an extensive area around those zones, were consulted about the appropriateness of the prevailing controls in those zones in October 2018. In response to replies received detailed designs have been prepared and are due to be delivered to residents in February 2020. In essence, the proposal is to extend the PC operational hours (9am to 5.30pm Monday to Saturday) across the whole area, including Hudson Place and Raglan Road.
- 2.2 The outcome of the consultation on detailed design proposals, and any resulting alterations to the existing controls, will be subject to an appropriate approval report to the Cabinet Member for Air Quality, Public Realm and Transport. Should changes be approved at that stage there will be a formal statutory consultation associated with the traffic order making process.

Petitioner:	Susan Sandy
Petition:	To improve the Public Realm on Sibthorpe Road adjacent to Horn Park Community Centre and the Parade of Shops
Number of signatures:	157
The petition read as follows:	To improve the Public Realm on Sibthorpe Road adjacent to Horn Park Community Centre and the Parade of Shops

Cabinet Member:	Public Realm
Ward(s):	Middle Park & Sutcliffe
Directorate:	DRES

Submitted to Council on:	18 December 2019
Presented by:	Councillor Ian Hawking

1. **Petition Response**

- 1.1 The area around Sibthorpe Road is primarily residential with a small parade of shops. The Horn Park Community Centre is opposite the shops.
- 1.2 The roads and footway in the area are narrow compared to current standards and this means space is limited. However, the area around the junction with Hengist Road is quite generous with an “open” feel and sense of space. There are several “greens” in the surrounding area that are planted with grass and trees but there is limited planting within the streets themselves and no street trees. A number of front gardens are planted and have hedges adding some greenery.
- 1.3 The petitioners have highlighted a number of improvements they would like to see delivered by the Council, as follows:
- Tree planting
Requested three or four street trees at the junction with Sibthorpe Road and Hengist Road and at least four trees along the parade of shops.

- Removal of hard surfaces and planting (reinstatement) of grass
Many years ago grass verges were tarmacked over. The petitioners have asked for the tarmac to be removed and grass to be reinstated.
- Hanging baskets
Hanging baskets to be fixed to the three lamp columns closest to the shops have been requested.
- Festive Lighting
Festive lighting to be installed on columns in the area at Christmas time is requested.
- Seating / benches
Two new benches to be installed close to the parade of shops are requested.
- New litter / recycle bin
A new litter / recyclable waste bin close to the Community Centre is requested.
- Cycle racks
New cycle parking stand / rack close to the parade of shops is requested.
- A review of the existing wooden bollards in the area
The petitioners would like the Council to review the wooden bollards in the area to ensure all are required.
- Measures to address illegal parking
The petitioners have referred to illegal parking and suggested some of the above would help reduce this.

2 Current actions being taken in relation to matter raised in petition

- 2.1 Members will be aware of the significant (financial) pressure on a range of Council services and growth in those areas, particularly discretionary services where there's no source of external funding, may well be unacceptable.
- 2.2 The Council also operates an evidence based approach to prioritising funding and allocation of resources. This means need across the borough is considered when requests are made, ensuring correct and fair decisions and helped inform the below:

Tree planting

- 2.3 The total street tree maintenance and management (including planting) budget is £456k per annum.
- 2.4 Approximately 250 to 300 street trees are removed (felled) each year. This is normally due to reasons such as dying or old age, disease, increasing maintenance burden, property damage or inappropriate species or size.
- 2.5 The available budget allows trees to be replaced on an approximate one for one basis (meaning 250-300 street trees are planted each year).
- 2.6 Street trees in Sibthorpe Road, where space permits, would make a good contribution to the area. However, if the Council agreed to tree planting here it would be offset by less (no) planting in other areas (most likely replacing a lost tree(s)).
- 2.7 Given the general lack of planting in the area it is considered planting trees here would be an appropriate approach and the Council will seek to plant four trees in the next planting season (winter 2020/21), subject to satisfactory outcome of site surveys and resident consultation.

Removal of hard surfaces and planting (reinstatement) of grass

- 2.8 The removal of large tarmac areas would create a more green and sustainably drained area. This work is likely to cost at least £10,000 and there is no obvious funding for this. Areas that have recently been “de-paved” have been done so via external funding following bids. At this time it is unclear whether any external funding would be available or the likelihood of success of any bids. This request cannot be accommodated as there is no current source of funding.

Hanging baskets

- 2.9 The Councils does not supply and maintain hanging baskets on columns in streets and any that have been in place (mainly town centre locations) are provided for via third party funding.

- 2.10 There is currently no provision or supporting maintenance and watering regimes in place and it would therefore not be possible to supply hanging baskets here.
- 2.11 In principle the Council would support a local group supplying, installing and maintaining hanging baskets at this location. However, prior to this an inspection and structural assessment of the columns would be required. The columns are almost certainly beyond their design life. This does not mean they are unsafe but may mean they would not support additional loading.

Festive Lighting

- 2.12 The Councils Festive Lighting budget (around £35,000 per year) is able to cover the cost of festive lighting in the main town centres of Eltham, Woolwich, Plumstead and Greenwich. This has been the situation for many years.
- 2.13 Additional festive lighting around the borough in locations like Blackheath Standard, Abbey Wood, The Village and Westmount Road has only been possible through other funding / external income. The additional cost needs to cover the initial purchase as well as the annual installation and removal, testing and storage.
- 2.14 The Council could consider a request for festive lighting in this area, provided the petitioners are able to secure the funding. This is subject to satisfactory column (structural) condition.

Seating / benches

- 2.15 Benches and places of rest support and promote active travel (walking and cycling). This can result in an improvement in public (physical and mental) health as well as air quality and reduced impact on the environment.
- 2.16 A range of work is underway to increase active and sustainable travel in the borough.

- 2.17 Whilst benches can attract antisocial behaviour the Council supports the installation of benches in principle. Some liaison with shop keepers and antisocial behaviour team is required. Provided satisfactory responses a bench will be installed.

New litter / recycle bin

- 2.18 There is currently an existing dual chamber (waste / recycling) bin directly in front of the parade of shops. In addition to this there is also a single chamber bin by the entrance door to the community centre.
- 2.19 It is therefore considered that there's adequate provision for the area.

Cycle racks

- 2.20 As part of promoting active travel the Council does have a budget each year to invest in cycling infrastructure including cycle stands.
- 2.21 This area appears an ideal location for cycle stands. The Council will inspect the site in detail, establish a suitable location and arrange installation.

A review of the existing wooden bollards in the area

- 2.22 The majority of bollards across the borough are installed to protect the pavement from damage or provide a physical restriction to ensure cars do not access or park illegally. However, the bollards can detract from street-scene and are a maintenance liability.
- 2.23 A review of the area is agreed. Any bollards considered to be surplus to requirements will be removed.

Measures to address illegal parking

- 2.24 Within the petition is reference to illegal parking.
- 2.25 Footway parking can be enforced by the Councils Parking Enforcement team. The Parking Enforcement Team will undertake additional patrols in the area to help improve compliance.

2.26 The petitions have also been supplied information on how to report illegal parking.

Petitioner:	Mr Massa Nandra MBE
Petition:	Regarding Premises use by the Indian Cultural Society
Number of signatures:	566
The petition read as follows:	After 32 years in our room at the Woolwich Old Town Hall, the Indian Cultural Society has been temporarily moved out of its Drop-in Day Centre premises now for six months. This is due to the need for 'urgent' health and safety repairs to the lantern window in the centre of the room's ceiling. So far, no remedial action has been taken and the Society is being decimated as a result of the lack of our permanent home in the Woolwich Old Town Hall. We request that an appropriate temporary venue is provided, to allow us to continue with our work until we are able to return to our room in the Old Town Hall. We would also like to know how long this situation is to continue.

Cabinet Member:	Regeneration and Growth
Ward(s):	Woolwich Riverside
Directorate:	DRES / DCE

Submitted to Council on:	18 December 2019
Presented by:	Councillor Denise Hyland

I. **Petition Response**

- I.1 The roof lantern serving the room used by the Indian Cultural Society has been leaking into the roof structure for some time. This has caused damage and weakening of the structure of the roof in this location, hence the need to close this room. The work to replace the roof lantern and repair the roof structure is complex and due to the listed status of the building will take some time to resolve. It is estimated that this will take at least a further 12 months
- I.2 The Council is not in a position to agree usage/rent of buildings either temporary or permanent.

- 1.3 The Voluntary & Community Sector team (VCS team) was approached by colleagues in DRES to provide support to the Indian Cultural Society (ICS) to identify alternative accommodation in the area. The VCS team met with representatives of ICS in July, August, September and November 2019 to discuss their concerns and provide support.
- 1.4 There is no available or suitable Council building space in the immediate vicinity to the space previously occupied by the group, but the VCS team identified potential room space in existing organisations in the locality, for sessional use, including: Bathway (University of Greenwich); Greenwich Inclusion Project (GRIP); The Tramshed, Woolwich library, and George IV pub.
- 1.5 The Council understands that the Indian Cultural Society has taken up the opportunity to use space at Bathway. The Council understands that the space is temporary and subject to availability.
- 1.6 The Council recognises that it is very difficult for the Indian Cultural Society to secure any ongoing temporary and sessional space to deliver their services in the immediate vicinity of Calderwood Street, particularly if there is a cost to hiring the space, as the group currently has no funding available to it.
- 1.7 The Council understands that the members are elderly and are unable to travel far, manage stairs, or take public transport to use alternative facilities outside the immediate vicinity of Calderwood Street.
- 1.8 The Council understands that MetroGAVS (VCS infrastructure support) has provided fundraising support to ICS over many years, and more recently has made some recommendations to the group's organisation and governance to improve their chances of fundraising success. To date, the Council understands that there are ongoing discussions between MetroGAVS and the Indian Cultural Society.
- 1.9 The Council feels that in the absence of any available space in a Council building in the immediate vicinity to the premises previously occupied by the group, and in the absence of any funding from the group itself, there are very limited options available for the Council to continue to pursue.

- I.10 The Council will continue to work with the leadership and representatives to find alternative accommodation and to support steps taken to fundraise.

Petitioner:	Andrew Flynn
Petition:	To install a refuge island at the top of Eglington Hill SE18
Number of signatures:	146
The petition read as follows:	To install a refuge island at the top of Eglington Hill SE18

Cabinet Member:	Air Quality, Sustainability and Transport
Ward(s):	Shooters Hill
Directorate:	DRES

Submitted to Council on:	18 December 2019
Presented by:	Councillor Danny Thorpe

1. **Petition Response**

- 1.1 Eglington Hill is a residential road to the north of the Shrewsbury Lane and Plum Lane respectively.
- 1.2 The speed limit in Eglington Hill is 30mph and has not been subjected to the borough-wide 20mph programme for residential streets. Shrewsbury Lane / Plum Lane is currently a 20mph road and implemented in 2015.
- 1.3 The latest recorded collision data between 2016 and 2019, was reviewed for Eglington Hill junction with Shrewsbury Lane / Plum Lane. There were no recorded collisions resulting in a personal injury at this junction.
- 1.4 Before this financial year, The Royal Borough submitted its Local Implementation Plan 3 to Transport for London and Mayoral approval was granted. This includes our investment plan for the coming three years. The funding is generally provided by Transport for London, which allows for traffic management and safety improvements to the boroughs roads.
- 1.5 An element of the LIP3 investment plan is the Royal Borough's Reducing Road Danger programme. This programme includes funding for the implementation of Local Safety Schemes.

1.6 There is currently no funding allocated for a Local Safety Scheme to be implemented in Eglinton Hill. The allocation of this funding is solely based on statistical road safety evidence. However, the available funding is subject to reprioritisation within the 2020/2021 financial year dependant on statistics.

2 Current actions being taken in relation to matter raised in petition

2.1 A full review will be undertaken, and the necessary improvements will be identified, this will ensure the current crossing facilities are safe and accessible for vulnerable pedestrians.

2.2 Based on the statistical evidence, collision data etc, the available data would not indicate that immediate action is necessary. Although improvements in Eglinton Hill are not on the current programme of works, it is noted that improving the safety of this route, would support our active travel policies.

2.3 Funding will be sought from our Walking and Accessibility budget within the LIP within the 2020/2021 financial year.

2.4 Another issue that will be reviewed, is that Eglinton Hill is currently 30mph and roads in its vicinity are currently 20mph. Although Eglinton Hill is a bus route it may be possible to implement bus friendly physical features and reduce the speed limit to 20mph.

2.5 Await the availability of Walking and Accessibility and Reducing Road Danger funding within the 2020/2021 financial year to implement necessary improvements.

2.6 The available funding for the implementation of improvements to Eglinton Hill would be estimated at £40,000. The full cost would be funded by Transport for London (TfL).

2.7 Funding for the financial year 2019/20 have all been to various road safety and improvements schemes in the Borough, therefore request measures for Eglinton Road will not be feasible for consideration due to lack of funding

2.8 Eglinton Road area and requests from the petitioners will now be included in planned review during 20/21 financial year programmes.

Petitioner:	Joseph Lawlor
Petition:	Save Our Quiet Street
Number of signatures:	127
The petition read as follows:	Both options in the Hills and Vales traffic scheme say that all West Greenwich residents 'would still have access to the A2 via Maidenstone Hill'. Commuting traffic will also use Maidenstone Hill. We, the residents of Maidenstone Hill and Dutton Street, strongly object to this proposal. We believe these narrow, residential and densely populated streets should also be closed.

Cabinet Member:	Air Quality, Sustainability and Transport
Ward(s):	Greenwich West
Directorate:	DRES

Submitted to Council on:	18 December 2019
Presented by:	Councillor Aidan Smith

I. **Petition Response**

- I.1 Maidenstone Hill and Dutton Street are residential roads to the north of the A2 Blackheath Hill, and the east of the A2211 Greenwich South Street, SE10. See Appendix – Plan.
- I.2 The speed limit on Maidenstone Hill and Dutton Street is 20mph.
- I.3 Maidenstone Hill is a two-way street, with access available from the east from Point Hill, from the west from Dabin Crescent, or from the south from the A2 which is a Transport for London road. There are traffic calming features on the street.
- I.4 Dutton Street is a two-way street that connects at either end to Maidenstone Hill.
- I.5 Collision data for the latest three-year period was reviewed and no evidence of collisions resulting in a personal injury being sustained were found on either of the streets.

- I.6 Traffic data from 2019 for Maidenstone Hill indicates a weekday maximum traffic flow of approximately 200 vehicles per hour during the morning peak. The evening maximum flow is lower at approximately 120 vehicles per hour. At weekends the maximum traffic flow is similar.
- I.7 No data is available for air quality or noise levels along the street.
- I.8 In November and December 2019, the Council undertook public engagement on the West Greenwich Traffic Management project, which aims to reduce the volume of through traffic passing through the residential area.
- I.9 Two potential schemes were presented for comment. Option 1 was a fixed arrangement, where all the traffic management measures would be in place 24/7. Option 2 included a combination of timed and fixed measures. In both options, no changes were proposed for Maidenstone Hill or Dutton Street.
- I.10 In both options, it was acknowledged that Maidenstone Hill would be used by local traffic to access the A2, either at all times (Option 1) or when the timed restrictions were in operation (Option 2).
- I.11 Through traffic travelling through the West Greenwich area is primarily trying to avoid using the A2. Hyde Vale or General Wolfe Road, are the most attractive roads for this purpose, avoiding approximately 700 and 900m respectively of the A2, whereas Maidenstone Hill is only around 200m. For this reason, restrictions on Hyde Vale and General Wolfe Road are considered more likely to reduce through traffic volumes.
- I.12 Both Option 1 and 2 proposed a modal filter on Lidsell Street. This would prevent through traffic avoiding the junction of Greenwich South Street and the A2, but it would also mean that through traffic wishing to use Maidenstone Hill would have to travel approximately an extra 700m to do so, making it a much less attractive short cut.
- I.13 Approximately 900 detailed responses were received during the public engagement period. The Council does not have the capacity to analyse all the responses in-house, so an independent consultant has been commissioned to carry out this work. The results of the analysis will be published in a report.

- 2 **Current actions being taken in relation to matter raised in petition**
- 2.1 The Royal Borough will provide the details of the petition to the independent consultant conducting the analysis of the West Greenwich Traffic Management public engagement.
- 2.2 Including the petition in the public engagement analysis will ensure the views of residents on Maidenstone Hill and Dutton Street are considered when deciding how the Council should proceed in regard to reducing through traffic in West Greenwich.
- 2.3 It would be inappropriate for the Council to implement traffic management measures on individual streets at a time when it is trying to develop an areawide scheme to address the issue.

